ALTERNATIVE PRODUCTS OF EPN ANALYSIS AT LAC SUT IN BRATISLAVA



Ján Hefty, Miroslava Igondová, Ľubomíra Gerhátová, Michal Hrčka Department of Theoretical Geodesy Slovak University of Technology, Bratislava, Slovakia e-mail: jan.hefty@stuba.sk

EUREF 2004 Symposium

Bratislava, Slovakia June 2-5, 2004

Introduction

- LAC SUT: Department of Theoretical Geodesy, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Slovak University of Technology in Bratislava (SUT)
- Main research activities of the department: local and regional geodynamics, geodetic GPS applications, geoid determination, geographical information systems
- Participation of SUT in EPN: Operation of GPS permanent station Modra-Piesok (MOPI) since 1996, EPN LAC from September 2002



LAC SUT : main features

- First weekly solution included into EPN combination: week 1182
- Analysed network in September 2002: 25 stations distributed over the whole European continent, status in June 2004: 34 stations
- Main purpose of the network geometry: focused on Central Europe, regular station distribution all over the continent enabling geokinematic monitoring and geodynamics investigations
- Analysing tools: BERNESE GPS software, version 4.2, Linux operating system, BPE + own scripts
- Standard processing following the guidelines for EPN analysis centres: daily solutions, weekly combination, troposphere zenith delays with weekly coordinates fixed.



EPN subnetwork processed at SUT Bratislava (status June 2004)



Basic information about regular network processing at LAC SUT

- Baselines in range from 40 to 1550 km, average baseline is 500 km. All the baselines are solved without significant problems
- Reference site ZIMM: very stable without any interruptions and without local variations or jumps up to week 1230.
 Reference site from 1231: BOR1
- Problematic sites with frequent interruptions or breaking of observations: HFLK, SVTL, ORID, DUBR, BRST, REYK, QAQ1
- Sites with larger scatter and specific behaviour: QAQ1, REYK, CAME, DUBR, ORID, MIKL, MOPI



Additional and alternative solutions at LAC SUT

- Network processing in 4-hour separate intervals -"sub-daily" resolution: only coordinate estimations, ambiguities and troposphere zenith delays taken from 24-hour solutions.
- Regional ionosphere models from 24 h intervals, coordinates and troposphere fixed from weekly and daily solutions.
- Local models of PWV using estimated zenith delays and surface meteo observations.
- Estimation of station troposphere gradients simultaneously with troposphere zenith delays, coordinates are fixed from weekly solutions.



Time series from sub-daily network solutions in 4-hour observing intervals

- Main goals: daily coordinate variations, detailed investigation of jumps in the coordinate time series, tidal phenomena in station positions.
- Two approaches:
 - Spectral analysis of individual station series (*n*, *e*, and *up* components), problems with observation gaps and series discontinuities.
 - Least squares estimates of amplitudes and phases of harmonics with known frequencies.
- Data processing: high-frequency filtration, jumps identification and exclusion, outliers detection, interpolation of sub-daily coordinates, spectral analysis and LS estimates for dominating terms.



Example of variations in the band 0.4 - 1.2 day Station KOSG - *n* component

- data from one-year series
- ocean loading effects included in processing
- all the effects are relative to reference site ZIMM





Example of variations in the band 0.4 - 1.2 day Station KOSG - e and up components

Direction E-W







Amplitudes of horizontal and height variations with period M2 (ocean loading effect was included in network processing)

2.0 - N-S

Amplitude (mm)

0.0

Amplitude (mm)

Amplitudes of horizontal and height variations with period S1 (24-hour variation)

2.5

Amplitudes of horizontal and height variations with period K2 (Probably residual effect of GPS orbit modelling. Orbiting period of GPS satellite = period of K2) 2.5 N-S 2.0 2.5 up Amplitude (mm) 1.5 2.0 1.0 Amplitude (mm) 1.5 0.5 1.0 0.0 0.5 E-W2.0 Amplitude (mm) 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0

Conclusions related to analysis of subdaily station coordinate variations

- In all the analysed series dominate in the high frequency spectra the terms with diurnal and semidiurnal frequencies M2, K2, S2, O1, P1, K1, S1
- The observed amplitudes of horizontal n and e components are in general at the same level (or larger) than of the up component
- Observed M2 and O1 waves could be associated with deficiencies in solid Earth, and mainly of ocean loading tidal modelling
- The K2 and K1 residual effects in the series are probably associated with modelling of GPS satellite orbits
- Variations with S2 and S1 frequencies reflect mainly the thermal and atmospheric effects at the observing sites

Regional daily ionosphere models

- Latitude band covered: from 30 to 75 degrees
- Spherical harmonics representations with degree and order 5 (36 terms).
- One model is produced for 24-hour interval

REGIONA	L ION.	MODEL FOR EUROPE,	DAY 213						03-SEP-03	15:42
MODEL NUMBER / STATION NAME					2130-00					
MODEL TYPE (1=LOCAL,2=GLOBAL,3=STATION)					2					
MAXIMUM DEGREE OF SPHERICAL HARMONICS					5					
MAXIMUM ORDER					5					
DEVELOPMENT WITH RESPECT TO										
GEOGR	GEOGRAPHICAL (=1) OR GEOMAGNETIC (=2) FRAME									
MEAN (=1) OR TRUE (=2) POSITION OF THE SUN					1					
MAPPING FUNCTION (0=NONE,1=1/COS)					1					
HEIGHT OF SINGLE LAYER AND ITS RMS ERROR (KM)					450.00		0.	00		
COORDIN										
LATITUDE OF NORTH GEOMAGNETIC POLE (DEGREES)										
EAST LONGITUDE (DEGREES)										
PERIOD	OF VALI	DITY								
FROM EPOCH / REFERENCE EPOCH (Y,M,D,H,M,S)					2003 08	01	00	00	00	
TO EPOCH					2003 08	01	23	59	59	
LATITUD										
MINIMUM LATITUDE (DEGREES)					27.64					
MAXIMUM LATITUDE (DEGREES)					76.49					
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION										
NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTING STATIONS					27					
NUMBER OF CONTRIBUTING SATELLITES					28					
ELEVATION CUT-OFF ANGLE (DEGREES)					10					
MAXIMUM TEC AND ITS RMS ERROR (TECU)					26.16		0.	38		
COMMENT	/ WARN	ING		:						
COEFFIC	IENTS									
DEGREE	ORDER	VALUE (TECU)	RMS (TECU)							
0	0	-2224.27609339	438.7100							
1	0	2986.50480350	572.3647							
1	1	-1239.58235990	34.6537							
1	-1	-621.13017670	40.5342							
2	0	-2247.80372712	411.4012							
2	1	1572.30023638	43.4148							
2	-1	798.59563950	50.6915							
2	2	-102.72600247	4.8457							
2	-2	-49.10562126	4.7608							
3	0	1117.25503170	191.3618							
3	1	-1058.14035065	28.8427							
3	-1	-547.92648608	33.5830							

Regional ionosphere model

TEC (in TECU) over Central Europe May 7, 10.00 UT

TEC evolution over **Europe during 24 hours**

May 7, 2004

Regional ionosphere model

596

Comparison of CODE (global), SUT (regional) and TOPEX models

Daily TEC variations over MOPI in January and August 2003

Regional precipitable water vapour models

Stations in Central Europe equipped with meteo sensors (GOPE, TUBO, MOPI, KRAW, GANP, BOGI, JOZ2) are used to compute precipitable water vapour content (PWV)
Estimated hourly zenith total delays (ZTD) estimated at SUT are reduced for modelled dry troposphere component based on surface atmospheric pressure and temperature
Site values are interpolated to form regional PWV maps

Annual variation of ZTD and PWV at TUBO station

Regional precipitable water vapour models over Central Europe – daily variation

37.5

34.5

31.5 30

28.5 27

25.5

22.5 21

19.5

16.5

13.5 12 10.5

18

15

9 7.5

6 4.5

3 1.5

24

36

33

PWV [mm] - 7. 5. 2004 - 0, 2, 4, ... 22 hr UTC

Comparison of GPS derived PWV and radiosonde values at GANP EUREF station

Estimation of troposphere gradients (azimuthal asymmetry in tropospheric delay)

Tropospheric zenith delay:

$$\Delta \rho(t) = \Delta \rho_{a priori} f(z) + \Delta \rho_h(t) f(z) + \Delta \rho(t)_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \cos(A) + \Delta \rho(t)_e \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \sin(A)$$

- f(z) mapping function
- $\Delta \rho_h$ zenith delay parameter
- $\Delta \rho_n$ gradient parameter in north-south direction
- $\Delta \rho_e$ gradient parameter in east-west direction
- Troposphere gradients are estimated for 24 and 6 hour intervals
- **RMS of** $\Delta \rho$ estimates are usually less than 0.3 mm

Example of troposphere gradient time series: station BOR1, 24-hour intervals

Example of troposphere gradient time series: station ZIMM, 6-hour intervals

Conclusions related to ionosphere and troposphere issues

- Complex processing of permanent GPS network allows besides the daily and weekly coordinates to estimate set of additional parameters characterizing environment of the region
- For majority of monitored phenomena are characteristic diurnal and seasonal changes
- The separation of individual effects is not trivial and requires further investigations

