



# EUREF organizational aspects

Johannes Ihde, Wolfgang Söhne

Federal Agency for Cartography and Geodesy



- **Review of goals of Terms of Reference (ToR)**
  - Anything important missing? Any entities not mentioned (EPN, coordination group, special projects, ...)?
  - Any aspects already existing in the ToR not fully exploited (e.g. Role of invited guests)?
  - Any aspects no longer necessary (e.g. Member categories A and B; e.g. “The leader of a WG must be a member of the TWG”)?
  - Any aspects not exactly used (e.g. Explicitness of membership)?
  - Note: Any changes of ToR need approval by the Plenary



- **Interaction to related groups and organizations / network of partners**
  - Is the existing structure with some / few MoUs appropriate / sufficient?
  - What are other options for co-operation?
  - Is the co-operation with other groups/organisations (e.g. IGS) good enough?



- **Role of EUREF Working Groups (WGs)**
  - Number of active WGs, time length of existence, number of members
- **Understanding of permanent positions / coordinators**
  - Undetermined length appropriate?
  - Terms of four years as default (as for the EUREF chair, the TWG chair and the elected members)
  - Renewable once (or twice)? By TWG agreement?
- **Role of Coordination Group (CG)**
  - Number of members appropriate?
  - Role within EUREF/TWG clear enough defined?